A Survey of Structural Entropy: Theory, Methods, and Applications

Dingli Su[1] , **Hao Peng**[1] , **Yicheng Pan**[1] , **Angsheng Li**[1]
[1]Beihang University
{sudingli, penghao, yichengp, angsheng}@buaa.edu.cn

Abstract

Graph-structured data underpins complex systems across domains, yet contemporary AI models lack native mechanisms to interpret such data. Traditional metrics that overlook hierarchical or modular structures hinder this. Structural entropy, rooted in Shannon's information theory and the minimum description length principle, addresses this by quantifying the uncertainty in a graph's structure after optimal compression. Initially applied to community detection, structural entropy now spans diverse applications, yet a systematic synthesis of its theory, methods, and applications remains absent. This survey bridges this gap by providing a comprehensive overview of structural entropy's theoretical foundations, computational frameworks, and cross-domain impact. Through a detailed examination of computational methods, learning paradigms, and cross-domain applications from Bioinformatics to pattern recognition, this survey underscores the potential of structural entropy in advancing graph analysis and understanding. Finally, we identify key challenges and suggest future research directions, advocating integration with large language models and embodied AI to advance reasoning in real-world.

1 Introduction

Graph-structured data underpins modern computational modeling, capturing relational patterns in biological networks, social interactions, traffic systems, and knowledge bases. Its significance extends to AI, where neural architectures mirror biological neural topologies, swarm intelligence emerges from networked agents, and reasoning systems demand structured representations of entities and relationships. Despite their universality, graphs remain a persistent challenge for contemporary AI: even state-of-the-art large language models, such as GPT o3-mini [OpenAI, 2025] and DeepSeek-R1 [Guo *et al.*, 2025], lack native mechanisms to interpret or generate graph data, limiting their ability to reason over interconnected systems where semantics arise from topology [Li *et al.*, 2024].

This limitation stems from a deeper issue: conventional metrics (e.g., centrality, clustering coefficients) often fail to capture the intrinsic structural semantics—hierarchies, multi-scale modules, or latent functional dependencies—that define a graph's organizational principles. To address this, structural information theory quantifies the informational essence of networks through **structural entropy** (SE), a concept tracing its origins to Shannon's 1953 challenge to measure "structural information" in communication systems. Building on the minimum description length principle [Rissanen, 1978], which posits that the best model for data is the one that compresses it most succinctly, SE formalizes the uncertainty inherent in a graph's structure after optimal compression [Li, 2024]. A graph with clear regularities (e.g., clusters, and hierarchies) exhibits lower entropy, while disordered graphs resist compression and yield higher entropy.

Early applications of SE focused on community detection. Minimizing SE, by searching for a nested partition of a graph into hierarchical layers, provides a theoretically grounded method to identify a network's "true" or 'true' community structure [Li and Pan, 2016a]. Conversely, increasing entropy can obscure community structures, leading to random graph behavior and enabling privacy-preserving graph anonymization or synthetic network generation [Liu *et al.*, 2019]. Beyond communities, the framework has driven advances across domains: detecting chromatin topologically associated domains in genomics [Li *et al.*, 2016; Li *et al.*, 2018; Li *et al.*, 2023; Li *et al.*, 2021], quantifying knowledge in geoscience citation networks [Wang *et al.*, 2023a], improving graph neural networks (GNNs) via structure-aware learning [Wu *et al.*, 2022; Zou *et al.*, 2023; Duan *et al.*, 2024], segmenting images [Zeng *et al.*, 2023a; Xie *et al.*, 2025], quantifying graph similarity [Yang *et al.*, 2024a], designing robust infrastructure networks [Li and Pan, 2016b; Li *et al.*, 2015], identifying social bots and adversarial structures [Zeng *et al.*, 2024b; Yang *et al.*, 2024c; Peng *et al.*, 2024], detecting social event [Cao *et al.*, 2024b; Yang *et al.*, 2024d; Yu *et al.*, 2025], and enhancing reinforcement learning through structured state-space exploration [Zeng *et al.*, 2023b; Zeng *et al.*, 2024c]. These successes underscore SE's versatility in extracting actionable insights from any system modeled as a graph with latent organization.

Despite these significant advancements, a systematic review of SE's diverse applications across domains is still lacking. This paper aims to fill this gap by providing a comprehensive overview of the theoretical foundations, computational meth-

ods, and learning paradigms leveraging SE in graph analysis. We discuss its applications in various fields, including bioinformatics, social networks, and pattern recognition, as shown in Figure 1. The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 outlines the basic concepts and theoretical foundations of SE. Section 3 delves into learning methods. Section 4 explores cross-domain applications. Section 5 concludes with future directions and open challenges.

2 Basic Concepts

All definitions in this section are taken from [Li, 2024].

2.1 Information Systems and One-Dimensional SE

An information system models a collection of interacting entities and their dynamic relationships. Formally, such systems can be represented through two equivalent mathematical frameworks: a graph-theoretic structure and an algebraic matrix model.

Definition 2.1 (Graph Model of an Information System)**.** *An information system is formulated as a directed, weighted graph $G = (V, E, W)$, where:*

- $V = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ *is a set of vertices representing entities,*
- $E \subseteq V \times V$ *is a set of directed edges encoding interactions between entities,*
- $W : E \to \mathbb{R}^+$ *is a weight function such that $W(i, j) > 0$ quantifies the interaction strength from entity i to j. If $(i, j) \notin E$, $W(i, j) = 0$.*

Weights may represent influence, transition probabilities, or connection strength, ensuring $W(i, j) \geq 0$ for all i, j.

Definition 2.2 (Algebraic Model of an Information System)**.** *Equivalently, an information system is represented by a non-negative matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{n \times n}$, where $a_{i,j} = W(i, j)$. Each entry $a_{i,j}$ denotes the interaction from entity i to j, preserving the structure of G.*

The algebraic model facilitates the analysis of system dynamics through linear algebra and spectral methods. A critical tool for understanding such systems is the *one-dimensional structural entropy* (1D-SE), which quantifies the inherent uncertainty in predicting entity interactions in a random walk framework.

Definition 2.3 (Random Walk and Stationary Distribution)**.** *For an irreducible matrix A, let $\boldsymbol{\pi}^T = (\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_n)$ denote the unique stationary distribution satisfying $\boldsymbol{\pi}^T A = \boldsymbol{\pi}^T$. The transition probability from x to y is:*

$$p_{x \to y} = \frac{a_{x,y}}{\sum_{z=1}^{n} a_{x,z}} = b_{x,y}, \tag{1}$$

where $b_{x,y}$ is the normalized interaction strength. The volume of a subset $X \subseteq V$ is $V_X = \sum_{x \in X} \pi_x$.

Definition 2.4 (1D-Structural Entropy)**.** *The 1D-structural entropy of an information system is the Shannon entropy of its stationary distribution:*

$$H^1(G) = -\sum_{x \in V} \pi_x \log \pi_x. \tag{2}$$

This measures the uncertainty in the random walker's long-term presence across entities.

The 1D-SE measures the uncertainty of the walker's position without any assumption of structural grouping, which can be viewed as a good approximation of the von Neumann graph entropy [Liu *et al.*, 2022]. Lower entropy indicates a more centralized structure, while higher entropy suggests a decentralized topology. This entropy is a foundational metric for analyzing information flow and stability in systems ranging from social networks to biological interactions [Pons and Latapy, 2005; Page, 1999].

2.2 Encoding Tree and Structural Entropy

The hierarchical organization of complex systems motivates the concept of *encoding tree*, which provides a multi-resolution framework for analyzing structural entropy. Encoding tree formalizes the notion of hierarchical abstraction, enabling the systematic decomposition of information systems into nested clusters.

Definition 2.5 (Encoding Tree)**.** *Let A be a finite set. A coding tree T for A is a rooted tree satisfying the following conditions:*

- *The root node λ is associated with the entire set A, i.e., $T_\lambda = A$.*
- *Each node $\alpha \in T$ represents a non-empty subset of A, i.e., $T_\alpha \neq \emptyset$.*
- *Each node α has a set of immediate successors $\beta_0, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_l$, where $l \geq 1$, and each successor node $\beta_j = \alpha \cdot j$ (for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, l$) represents a finer partitioning of the set T_α.*
- *The collection of subsets $\{T_{\beta_0}, T_{\beta_1}, \ldots, T_{\beta_l}\}$ forms a partition of T_α.*
- *Each leaf node γ corresponds to a singleton subset, i.e., $T_\gamma = \{a\}$, where $a \in A$ is a specific element of the set.*

In the context of a graph $G = (V, E)$, an encoding tree T serves as a rooted tree that abstracts the relationships between nodes V by partitioning them into clusters at multiple levels of granularity. More formally, each node α of the encoding tree represents a subset $T_\alpha \subseteq V$ of the original graph's nodes. The root node, denoted by λ, represents the entire set V, while the leaf nodes correspond to all individual nodes in the graph. Intermediate internal nodes represent finer partitions of the graph, where each child node of a given internal node corresponds to a more specific subset of nodes.

The encoding tree can be particularly useful in analyzing graphs that exhibit community structure or hierarchical organization. In such cases, encoding a node's identity can be made more efficient by first identifying the community to which the node belongs and then pinpointing the specific node within that community. The concept of structural entropy formalizes this idea by quantifying the uncertainty in the system using an encoding tree, where the entropy measures the complexity of the hierarchical structure.

Definition 2.6 (Structural Entropy of the Encoding Tree T)**.** *Let A be a finite set, and T be an encoding tree for A. Suppose that A is represented by an irreducible non-negative matrix*



Figure 1: A taxonomy of Structural Entropy works.

$A = A_{n\times n} = (a_{ij})$, where the matrix encodes the relationships or interactions between elements in A. The structural entropy of the information system A under the spectral abstraction represented by the encoding tree T is defined as:

$$H^T(A) = -\sum_{\alpha\in T,\alpha\neq\lambda} p_\alpha \log_2 \frac{V_\alpha}{V_{\alpha^-}},$$

where p_α is the probability distribution associated with node α, V_α represents the size (or volume) of the subset corresponding to α, and V_{α^-} denotes the size of the subset corresponding to the parent node α^- of α.

As defined in 2.3, p_α reflects the likelihood of the system being in the subset T_α, while V_α is the volume of T_α in terms of the total interaction or influence within the system. The entropy term $-\log_2 \frac{V_\alpha}{V_{\alpha^-}}$ captures the relative uncertainty of T_α compared to its parent subset V_{α^-}, providing a measure of the partitioning complexity within each node on the tree. The overall SE $H^T(A)$ thus quantifies the total uncertainty in the system, accounting for the distribution of uncertainty across all hierarchical levels in the encoding tree.

The SE provides insight into the degree of uncertainty in the system under the hierarchical abstraction, which is crucial for understanding the overall complexity of the system's organization. It highlights how information is distributed across different subsets of nodes and how the granularity of the hierarchical structure impacts the uncertainty of node identification. Moreover, SE is particularly useful in systems where the nodes exhibit community structures or other forms of organization. It quantifies the potential for efficient encoding based on the hierarchical relationships captured in the encoding tree.

3 Theoretical Foundations

3.1 Foundational Theoretical Works

The theoretical framework of SE was established through pioneering works that formalized its mathematical foundations and core principles.

Foundation Theory. Foundational research on SE has established it as a principled framework for analyzing graph complexity through information-theoretic lens. The seminal work by [Li and Pan, 2016a] introduced structural entropy as a quantitative measure of graph structural complexity, formalizing its interpretation as the minimum code length required to describe a network's hierarchy under random walks. Furthermore, they demonstrated that SE minimization enables the identification of intrinsic multiscale structures in complex networks, bridging graph theory with Shannon's information principles. Broadening the theoretical framework, [Li, 2024] introduced the pioneering concept of the information world and established a hierarchical abstracting paradigm to characterize behaviors of complex information system. The work formulated fundamental laws of information, derived mathematical principles of information dynamics, and redefined artificial intelligence within an information-theoretic framework. This unified SE concepts across network science, information theory, and AI, establishing the Information Science Principles of AI (ISPAI) and laying axiomatic foundations for structural information theory, offering a cohesive framework

for understanding complex systems in both theory and modern AI applications.

Theoretic Analysis. Theoretical advances have rigorously characterized foundational properties of SE and expanded its computational frameworks. [Zhang *et al.*, 2021a] re-examined SE's role in social network dynamics, devising a togetherness improvement metric that quantifies integration efficacy through entropy reduction. By iteratively adding inter-community edges to minimize SE, their algorithm effectively dissolved modular structures, advancing SE's applicability in network unification tasks. Moreover, [Liu *et al.*, 2022] established a critical theoretical link between SE and spectral graph analysis, proving that the entropy gap between SE and the von Neumann graph entropy lies within $[0, \gamma]$ for any undirected graph. Alongside these spectral insights, [Yang *et al.*, 2024b] introduced incremental computation paradigms for dynamic graphs, proposing two adjustment strategies to update encoding trees and optimize SE iteratively.

Extension Work. Recent extensions have generalized SE principles to analyze more complex network topologies through specialized formulations and applications. [Yao *et al.*, 2019] pioneered a localized two-dimensional SE for directed graphs, proposing a flow-based clustering algorithm that identifies transaction communities in financial networks by maximizing intra-cluster fund retention. Complementing this direction, [Zhang *et al.*, 2024] devised a random walk-based entropy (RWE) for directed graphs, establishing its equivalence to von Neumann entropy via a bounded entropy gap while enabling efficient community detection through two-dimensional RWE minimization. Further extending SE to heterogeneous relational data, [Cao *et al.*, 2024a] introduced multi-relational structural entropy through a random surfing framework that jointly optimizes node and relation selection, demonstrating superior interpretability in tasks like social event detection. Moreover, [Zhang *et al.*, 2023] established an information-theoretic link between SE and source localization, proposing infected tree entropy to minimize structural deviations in cascade traces. Alongside this, [Huang *et al.*, 2024] developed SE-guided probabilistic coding as a variant of SE, introducing a SE regularization loss to enhance probabilistic embeddings.

Discussion. Theoretical advances in SE have significantly expanded its applicability across diverse network types and analytical tasks, yet key challenges and open questions persist. While foundational works established SE's information-theoretic roots, recent extensions reveal trade-offs in adapting SE to specific contexts. For directed graphs, the flow-based [Yao *et al.*, 2019] and the random walk-based [Zhang *et al.*, 2024] formulations prioritize distinct aspects of directionality, raising questions about their comparative effectiveness in capturing asymmetric interactions. Similarly, incremental SE [Yang *et al.*, 2024b] efficiently handles dynamic graphs but only considers simple operations, which may limit its ability to adapt to non-trivial structural shifts. In contrast, multi-relational SE introduces interpretability at the cost of increased computational complexity. A critical gap lies in unifying these specialized variants into a cohesive framework, particularly for heterogeneous networks where relational and temporal dimensions coexist. Theoretically, while connections to spectral entropy [Liu *et al.*, 2022] enrich SE's foundations, the interplay between SE and traditional graph-theoretic objective functions (e.g., modularity [Newman and Girvan, 2004], the map equation[Rosvall and Bergstrom, 2008], Dasgupta's cost function[Dasgupta, 2016] etc.) remains underexplored. Future work could benefit from comparative studies of SE variants and hybrid approaches that bridge information-theoretic principles with machine learning paradigms.

3.2 Computational Approaches

As shown in Table 1 and Figure 1, practical SE minimization algorithms can be classified by their optimization strategies and the types of constraints they apply.

Heuristic Methods. Early heuristic approaches leveraging SE minimization focused on flat clustering. [Li *et al.*, 2018] introduced deDoc, the first SE-based chromatin domain detector, which employs a greedy merging strategy to minimize SE. Complementing genomic applications, [Chen and Li, 2022] developed SEAT for single-cell omics by SE minimization over cell-cell graphs, enabling multi-layer functional diversity analysis through nested subpopulation detection. In parallel, [Pan *et al.*, 2021] developed HCSE, the most widely used SE-based hierarchical clustering framework. HCSE recursively isolates the sparsest hierarchy levels through SE-guided optimization, automatically determining hierarchy depth without hyperparameters. It demonstrates competitive performance against LOUVAIN on real-world networks, establishing a practical and effective approach to hierarchical graph clustering.

Constrained Optimization. Recent advances in SE minimization have introduced domain-aware constraints to address diverse biological and computational challenges. These methods can be systematically categorized based on their constraint-handling mechanisms, as outlined below. Methods enforcing spatial or index **continuity constraints** excel in genomic domain detection, where chromatin regions exhibit inherent linear organization. [Zhang *et al.*, 2021b] established this paradigm by integrating interval dynamic programming with SE minimization, ensuring that detected topologically associating domains (TADs) preserve genomic contiguity while optimizing hierarchical chromatin organization. Subsequent work by [Ling *et al.*, 2024] introduced matrix discretization and approximation strategies to reduce time complexity, maintaining spatial continuity while achieving a 10x speedup. Extending continuity principles to single-cell analysis, [Li *et al.*, 2023] developed deDoc2 with dynamic programming to resolve contiguous topologically associating domains (TLDs) at single-cell resolution, demonstrating that spatial continuity constraints are critical for capturing cell cycle-dependent chromatin dynamics. For broader graph-structured data, **semi-supervised constraints** (label and pairwise constraints) have been integrated to guide SE minimization. [Zeng *et al.*, 2024a] unified these constraints under a single optimization framework, reformulating SE to penalize violations of must-link/cannot-link pairs and label assignments during encoding tree construction. To scale this approach, [Zeng *et al.*, 2025] introduced graph sampling and incremental cluster insertion, reducing time complexity from quadratic to linear while preserving 92% accuracy on million-node graphs through theoretically guaranteed approximations.

Discussion. Recent advances in SE minimization highlight

Algorithm (Ref)	Levels	Constrain	Time Complexity	Github	Lang
HCSE [Pan *et al.*, 2021]	h	Unconstrained	$O(n^2)$	Link	Python
deDoc [Li *et al.*, 2018]	2/3	Unconstrained	$O(n \log^2 n)$ or $O(n^2)$	Link	Java
deDoc2 [Li *et al.*, 2023]	h	Unconstrained	$O(n^2)$	Link	Java
SSE [Zeng *et al.*, 2024a]	h	Label, Pairwise	$O(h(m \log n + n))$	Link	Python
SSSE [Zeng *et al.*, 2025]	h	Label, Pairwise	$O(n \log^2 n + nkt)$	Link	Python
SuperTAD [Zhang *et al.*, 2021b]	h	Contiguity	$O(n^4 k^2 h)$	Link	C++
SuperTAD-Fast [Ling *et al.*, 2024]	h	Contiguity	$O(n^4 k^2 h)$	Link	C++
PYSEAT [Chen and Li, 2022]	h	Contiguity	$O(n \log n)$	Link	Python
LSENet [Sun *et al.*, 2024]	2	Unconstrained	$O(nm)$	Link	Python
CoDeSEG [Xian *et al.*, 2025]	2	Overlapping	$O(nt)$	Link	C++

Table 1: **Summary of Algorithms.** Here, n denotes the number of nodes, m is the number of edges, h represents the number of hierarchies, t is the number of iterations, k is the number of flat clusters (i.e., the number of parent nodes directly above the leaf nodes in the encoding tree). **Pairwise**: Specifies relationships between data points, including Must-Link (same cluster) and Cannot-Link (different clusters). **Label**: Pre-assigns specific data points to clusters as known labels. **Contiguity**: Ensures nodes in the same cluster have continuous indices. **Unconstrained**: No constraints are applied, allowing fully flexible clustering. **Overlapping**: Nodes can belong to multiple clusters.

a trade-off between heuristic efficiency and constrained optimization's domain specificity. Heuristic methods such as HCSE and deDoc prioritize scalability and hierarchy induction, but may lack flexibility for complex biological constraints. In contrast, constrained approaches (e.g., SuperTAD, SSE) integrate spatial continuity or pairwise labels, enhancing biological relevance at the cost of increased computational complexity. Although these methods excel in niche applications, open challenges persist in balancing scalability with performance, particularly for dynamic or heterogeneous networks. Emerging paradigms such as LSEnet [Sun *et al.*, 2024] and CoDeSEG [Xian *et al.*, 2025] signal a shift toward integrating SE with learning frameworks. LSEnet bridges SE with deep learning through differentiable optimization in hyperbolic space, enabling cluster-free hierarchical partitioning. The CoDeSEG leverages game theory for near-linear-time community detection in large-scale networks. These innovations underscore the potential of hybridizing SE with learning-based optimization to address scalability and generalization.

4 Learning Methods via Structural Entropy

4.1 Graph Learning Paradigms

Structural entropy has emerged as a powerful tool for learning graph representation, enabling novel architectures and optimization frameworks.

Graph Pooling. Structural entropy principles have revolutionized graph pooling by addressing critical limitations in traditional coarsening methods, particularly structural information loss, and expressiveness bottlenecks. [Wu *et al.*, 2022] pioneered Structural Entropy Pooling (SEP), which replaces layer-wise compression with a global optimization algorithm to generate cluster assignments in one pass, eliminating stepwise structural damage while outperforming state-of-the-art methods on graph/node classification through community-preserving downsampling. Expanding upon this idea, [Ren *et al.*, 2024] introduced Hi-PART, a framework that constructs Hierarchical Partition Trees (HPT) via multi-scale SE minimization, provably surpassing Weisfeiler-Lehman test expressiveness by encoding nested structural features. Hi-PART achieved hyperparameter robustness and training stability by decoupling HPT optimization from representation learning, delivering SOTA results on graph classification benchmarks through entropy-guided hierarchical feature aggregation.

Structure Augmentation. Graph structure augmentation methods generally involve modifying the input graph to enhance its informativeness or robustness for downstream tasks by generating different "views" of the graph, which are altered representations that retain essential structural properties. SEGA [Wu *et al.*, 2023] introduced an anchor view for graph contrastive learning by minimizing structural uncertainty, preserving essential graph semantics while avoiding information loss from random corruption. Based on graph structure learning, SE-GSL [Zou *et al.*, 2023] proposed a generalized framework that optimizes SE via encoding trees to hierarchically abstract graphs, employing a sample-based mechanism to restore connectivity among uncertain nodes, which strengthens robustness against noisy or heterophily structures while maintaining compatibility with diverse GNN architectures. Moreover, [Duan *et al.*, 2024] re-examined graph semantics by constructing hierarchical encoding trees with minimal SE, which captures community structures and eliminates noise, thereby enhancing basic structural views through a fusion of community influence and prediction confidence for robust node classification. These methods collectively demonstrate that SE-guided augmentation retains critical graph information and strengthens model generalizability across diverse learning scenarios.

Graph Kernel & Embedding Dimension. Apart from the primary research directions in SE for graph learning, several works have explored diverse perspectives that leverage SE to enhance graph-based methodologies. The Hierarchical Abstracting Graph Kernel (HAGK) [Yang *et al.*, 2024a] proposes a graph kernel family that leverages hierarchical SE minimization to construct nested node hierarchies, departing from traditional R-convolution frameworks. By integrating Local Optimal Matching (LOM) and Priority Ordering Matching (POM) for substructure alignment, HAGK achieves superior

performance on benchmark graph classification tasks. Minimum Entropy Principle-Guided GNNs (MGEDE) [Yang *et al.*, 2023] addresses interpretability challenges through an entropy minimization framework to derive node- and graph-level embedding dimensions, enhancing representation quality for classification tasks. The Unsupervised Structural Entropy-Based Robust GNN (USER) [Wang *et al.*, 2023b] addresses robustness challenges by defining an intrinsic connectivity graph via SE, which preserves critical structural information while mitigating noise effects. Additionally, USER employs adjacency matrix rank analysis to develop perturbation-resilient embeddings, demonstrating significant improvements in adversarial clustering and link prediction scenarios. These works collectively advance graph learning through principled integration of structural information theory.

Discussion. Integrating into graph learning has advanced pooling, augmentation, and representation paradigms by prioritizing hierarchical community preservation and uncertainty minimization. In pooling, methods like SEP and Hi-PART diverge in approach—global optimization versus multi-scale hierarchical trees—yet both demonstrate that SE-guided compression outperforms iterative coarsening by mitigating structural loss. Similarly, SE-driven augmentation frameworks (SEGA, SE-GSL) balance semantic preservation and noise robustness. However, they differ in mechanism: SEGA anchors contrastive views via uncertainty reduction, while SE-GSL employs encoding trees for hierarchical abstraction. These comparisons highlight a trade-off between computational efficiency (single-pass vs. multi-scale optimization) and granularity of structural retention. Despite progress, open challenges persist. First, theoretical guarantees for SE's expressiveness beyond Weisfeiler-Lehman hierarchies remain underexplored, particularly for heterophily or dynamic graphs. Second, scalability concerns arise, as SE minimization often involves combinatorial optimization, limiting applicability to large-scale graphs. Third, while methods decouple structure learning from downstream tasks (e.g., Hi-PART) to enhance stability, this risks suboptimal end-to-end adaptation. Finally, the interaction between SE's community-centric bias and tasks requiring non-hierarchical features (e.g., molecular graphs) warrants scrutiny. Addressing these gaps could unify SE's theoretical strengths with practical scalability and task adaptability, further solidifying its role in graph learning.

4.2 Reinforcement Learning Integration

SE-Guided RL Frameworks. Structural entropy principles have redefined reinforcement learning paradigms through hierarchical abstraction and information-guided exploration. [Zeng *et al.*, 2023b] pioneered Structural Information Reinforcement Decomposition (SIRD) for multi-agent collaboration, formulating role discovery as hierarchical action space clustering via encoding tree optimization—achieving 6.08% higher win rates on StarCraft II through entropy-minimized role assignments. Complementing this, [Zeng *et al.*, 2023c] introduced State-Informed Structural Abstraction (SISA), which compensates for sampling-induced information loss through adaptive hierarchical state clustering and condition SE, boosting continuous control benchmarks' sample efficiency by 44.44% via optimal encoding tree generation. Extending to exploration challenges, [Zeng *et al.*, 2024c] developed Structural Information Interactive Estimation (SI2E) by maximizing value-conditional SE through embedding principles, improving DeepMind Control Suite performance by 37.63% through intrinsic rewards that minimize redundant transitions.

Discussion. Integrating SE principles into reinforcement learning has significantly advanced hierarchical abstraction and exploration strategies. SIRD, SISA, and SI2E apply SE to different components of the learning process—action, observation, and reward, respectively. SIRD uses SE for hierarchical action abstraction to improve multi-agent collaboration, SISA applies SE to state abstraction for enhanced sample efficiency, and SI2E optimizes reward exploration to reduce redundant transitions and improve value estimation. Together, these frameworks enhance decision-making and performance across various RL tasks. Despite these advances, challenges remain in balancing abstraction depth with computational efficiency, especially in multi-agent settings. An alternative perspective is offered by COLLAB-MARL[Su *et al.*, 2025], which focuses on emergent cooperation through coalition labeling and SE analysis. Using cooperative game theory, COLLAB-MARL enables implicit coalition formation and task allocation, offering a more interpretable and easily implementable solution than traditional MARL methods. Future directions may focus on scaling SE-RL integration to partially observable or multi-modal environments, where hierarchical abstraction could mitigate perceptual ambiguity. Additionally, combining SE principles with meta-learning or lifelong RL could enable dynamic adaptation of encoding trees to evolving task structures. The theoretical connection between SE minimization and Bellman optimality remains underexplored. This connection offers fertile ground for unifying the information-theoretic and value-based RL paradigms.

5 Cross-Domain Applications

5.1 Bioinformatics

SE has demonstrated effectiveness in biological system analysis through several key frameworks. SE minimization has revolutionized genomic architecture analysis by enabling precise detection of hierarchical chromatin structures and cancer subtyping. The foundational work of [Li *et al.*, 2016] established SE-driven cancer subtyping through three-dimensional gene maps, correlating entropy-minimized expression patterns with survival outcomes and IPI scores across multiple types of cancer. Extending this, [Li *et al.*, 2018] introduced deDoc as the first SE-based chromatin domain detector, identifying megabase-scale TAD-like structures in Hi-C data through graph partitioning without normalization, enabling single-cell analysis through pooled datasets. Extending to single-cell resolution, [Li *et al.*, 2021] developed deTOKI using non-negative matrix factorization to decode TAD-like domains in individual cells, revealing their prevalence and tight regulatory dynamics through insulation score optimization. Advancing hierarchical domain detection, [Zhang *et al.*, 2021b] proposed SuperTAD with dynamic programming-based SE minimization, achieving 102% boundary protein enrichment over seven competitors while maintaining resolution consistency in Hi-C matrices. Complementing this, [Ling *et al.*, 2024] accelerated

SuperTAD through matrix discretization, reducing runtime by 10x while preserving 95% domain consistency through theoretically guaranteed approximations. For single-cell omics, [Chen and Li, 2022] created SEAT by minimizing global uncertainty in cell-cell graphs, boosting pseudo-time inference accuracy to 89% through hierarchical subpopulation detection across scRNA/DNA/ATAC datasets. Finally, [Li *et al.*, 2023] unified these advances in deDoc2, employing dynamic programming to resolve single-cell chromatin hierarchies with cell cycle-dependent dynamics, outperforming 10 tools as one of only two methods capable of single-cell TLD analysis.

5.2 Transport and Geoscience Studies

Recent advances in SE also demonstrated significant potential in addressing traffic spatial-temporal modeling and knowledge quantification challenges in Earth studies. [Zou *et al.*, 2024] proposed a transformer-based framework named MultiSPANS for traffic forecasting that integrates SE minimization to optimize spatial attention mechanisms. Generating hierarchical road network encoding trees improves the model's ability to capture multi-range dependencies while masking entropy-driven attention, improving interpretability. [Li *et al.*, 2022] introduced Scientific X-ray, a SE-inspired method to visualize and quantify the evolution of scientific ideas in the Earth science literature. Their approach constructs "idea trees" from citation networks, revealing a universal six-hop depth limit for idea inheritance and identifying high-potential research directions through entropy-based development indices. Further extending the structural perspective, [Wang *et al.*, 2023a] redefined knowledge quantification by measuring hierarchical disorder differences in citation networks, proposing a Knowledge Quantification Index that unifies traditional metrics and exhibits robustness against manipulation. This framework successfully identifies overlooked influential works and Nobel Prize-winning topics, emphasizing the role of information structurization in evaluating scientific impact beyond semantic ambiguity.

5.3 Social Networks

SE minimization principles have transformed network forensic analysis and knowledge discovery through interpretable, hierarchy-aware detection frameworks. [Peng *et al.*, 2024] developed UnDBot, an unsupervised framework leveraging heterogeneous SE to decode bot networks via weighted multi-relational graphs and stationary distribution-based community labeling. Complementing this, [Yang *et al.*, 2024c] pioneered SEBot, a multi-view contrastive learning detector optimizing social graph uncertainty via SE minimization, enhancing bot detection robustness by 16.29% against adversarial behaviors through hierarchical community encoding. Extending to adversarial modeling, [Zeng *et al.*, 2024b] formulated SIASM through conditional SE minimization, maximizing socialbot influence by 16.32% while evading detectors through optimal encoding tree-guided follower selection.

For social event detection, [Cao *et al.*, 2024b] proposed HISEvent, which incrementally supplements message graphs through 1D entropy minimization and hierarchically detects events through 2D entropy optimization, achieving SOTA performance under open-set settings without predefined event counts. Meanwhile, [Yang *et al.*, 2024d] introduced ADP-SEMEvent, integrating adaptive differential privacy with 2D SE minimization to achieve 89% detection accuracy on social message graphs while preserving user privacy through noise-immune optimal subgraph clustering. Advancing expressiveness, [Yu *et al.*, 2025] introduced HyperSED, an unsupervised framework that models social messages into hyperbolic space-anchored representations, achieving structure-aware event detection with 25% ARI improvement and 12-37x speedup over SOTA through differentiable hierarchical partitioning.

5.4 Pattern Recognition

SE has significantly advanced visual computing by enhancing feature preservation and segmentation. [Zeng *et al.*, 2023a] introduced SLED, an unsupervised skin lesion detector that minimizes multiscale superpixel graph entropy and incorporates isolation forest for outlier detection. [Xie *et al.*, 2025] proposed SIT-HSS, a hierarchical superpixel segmentation method using 1D SE-guided graph construction and 2D entropy-driven iterative merging, outperforming unsupervised methods on three vision datasets by optimizing pixel cluster hierarchies. In the text classification task, SE has enabled the modeling of hierarchical and syntactic structures. [Zhu *et al.*, 2023] developed HiTIN, a hierarchy-aware tree isomorphism network for hierarchical text classification that leverages SE to inject syntactic hierarchy into text representations via graph isomorphism. In addition, [Huang *et al.*, 2025] introduced MASGCN for aspect-based sentiment analysis, using SE loss to integrate syntactic features and outperforming existing GNN-based methods on aspect-based sentiment analysis benchmarks. In speech processing, SE has also driven innovations in compression and voice conversion. [Wang *et al.*, 2025a] proposed SECodec, a compressive speech representation that applies hierarchical SE to cluster feature nodes for efficient compression and reduced distortion. [Wang *et al.*, 2025b] introduced SEVC, a voice conversion framework that clusters reference speech with 2D SE and assigns frames to the closest semantic clusters, ensuring high-fidelity speaker transformation.

6 Conclusion

SE has emerged as a universal framework for quantifying, interpreting, and optimizing the structural complexity of interconnected systems. Bridging information theory with graph analysis provides a principled metric for disorder and a computational paradigm for hierarchical abstraction. Future work will focus on advancing algorithms that integrate structural information principles, aiming to boost the computational efficiency and interpretability of large language models, as exemplified by architectures like DeepSeek. This is especially crucial for embodied agents, which must continuously learn through interaction with their environment to develop autonomous reasoning capabilities within complex topological systems. As AI engages with increasingly sophisticated structures, ranging from biological systems such as chromatin folds to decentralized organizations, SE can offer a powerful unifying framework for graph-aware intelligence, balancing interpretability with computational rigor in the age of interconnected data.

References

[Cao *et al.*, 2024a] Yuwei Cao, Hao Peng, Angsheng Li, Chenyu You, Zhifeng Hao, and Yu Philip. Multi-relational structural entropy. In *Proceedings of the UAI*, 2024.

[Cao *et al.*, 2024b] Yuwei Cao, Hao Peng, Zhengtao Yu, and S Yu Philip. Hierarchical and incremental structural entropy minimization for unsupervised social event detection. In *Proceedings of the AAAI*, 2024.

[Chen and Li, 2022] Lingxi Chen and Shuai Cheng Li. Incorporating cell hierarchy to decipher the functional diversity of single cells. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 2022.

[Dasgupta, 2016] Sanjoy Dasgupta. A cost function for similarity-based hierarchical clustering. In *Proceedings of the STOC*, 2016.

[Duan *et al.*, 2024] Liang Duan, Xiang Chen, and et al. Structural entropy based graph structure learning for node classification. In *Proceedings of the AAAI*, 2024.

[Guo *et al.*, 2025] Daya Guo, Dejian Yang, Haowei Zhang, Junxiao Song, Ruoyu Zhang, Runxin Xu, Qihao Zhu, Shirong Ma, Peiyi Wang, Xiao Bi, et al. Deepseek-r1: Incentivizing reasoning capability in llms via reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.12948*, 2025.

[Huang *et al.*, 2024] Xiang Huang, Hao Peng, Li Sun, and et al. Structural entropy guided probabilistic coding. In *Proceedings of the AAAI*, 2024.

[Huang *et al.*, 2025] Xiang Huang, Hao Peng, Shuo Sun, and et al. Multi-view attention syntactic enhanced graph convolutional network for aspect-based sentiment analysis. In *Proceedings of the DASFAA*, 2025.

[Li and Pan, 2016a] Angsheng Li and Yicheng Pan. Structural information and dynamical complexity of networks. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 2016.

[Li and Pan, 2016b] Angsheng Li and Yicheng Pan. A theory of network security: Principles of natural selection and combinatorics. *Internet Mathematics*, 2016.

[Li *et al.*, 2015] AngSheng Li, XueChen Li, YiCheng Pan, and Wei Zhang. Strategies for network security. *Science China Information Sciences*, 2015.

[Li *et al.*, 2016] Angsheng Li, Xianchen Yin, and Yicheng Pan. Three-dimensional gene map of cancer cell types: Structural entropy minimisation principle for defining tumour subtypes. *Scientific reports*, 2016.

[Li *et al.*, 2018] Angsheng Li, Xianchen Yin, Bingxiang Xu, and et al. Decoding topologically associating domains with ultra-low resolution hi-c data by graph structural entropy. *Nature communications*, 2018.

[Li *et al.*, 2021] Xiao Li, Guangjie Zeng, Angsheng Li, and Zhihua Zhang. Detoki identifies and characterizes the dynamics of chromatin tad-like domains in a single cell. *Genome biology*, 2021.

[Li *et al.*, 2022] Qi Li, Xinbing Wang, and Luoyi andet al. Fu. Scientific x-ray: Scanning and quantifying the idea evolution of scientific publications. *Plos one*, 2022.

[Li *et al.*, 2023] Angsheng Li, Guangjie Zeng, Haoyu Wang, Xiao Li, and Zhihua Zhang. Dedoc2 identifies and characterizes the hierarchy and dynamics of chromatin tad-like domains in the single cells. *Advanced Science*, 2023.

[Li *et al.*, 2024] Yuhan Li, Zhixun Li, Peisong Wang, Jia Li, Xiangguo Sun, Hong Cheng, and Jeffrey Xu Yu. A survey of graph meets large language model: Progress and future directions. In *Proceedings of the IJCAI*, 2024.

[Li, 2024] Angsheng Li. *Science of Artificial Intelligence: Mathematical Principles of Intelligence* (In Chinese). Science Press, Beijing, 2024.

[Ling *et al.*, 2024] Zhao Ling, Yu Wei Zhang, and Shuai Cheng Li. Supertad-fast: Accelerating topologically associating domains detection through discretization. *Journal of Computational Biology*, 31(9), 2024.

[Liu *et al.*, 2019] Yiwei Liu, Jiamou Liu, Zijian Zhang, and et al. Rem: From structural entropy to community structure deception. In *Proceedings of the NeurIPS*, 2019.

[Liu *et al.*, 2022] Xuecheng Liu, Luoyi Fu, Xinbing Wang, and Chenghu Zhou. On the similarity between von neumann graph entropy and structural information: Interpretation, computation, and applications. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 2022.

[Newman and Girvan, 2004] Mark EJ Newman and Michelle Girvan. Finding and evaluating community structure in networks. *Physical review E*, 69(2):026113, 2004.

[OpenAI, 2025] OpenAI. Openai o3-mini: Pushing the frontier of cost-effective reasoning, 2025.

[Page, 1999] Lawrence Page. The pagerank citation ranking: Bringing order to the web. Technical report, 1999.

[Pan *et al.*, 2021] Yicheng Pan, Feng Zheng, and Bingchen Fan. An information-theoretic perspective of hierarchical clustering. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.06036*, 2021.

[Peng *et al.*, 2024] Hao Peng, Jingyun Zhang, Xiang Huang, and et al. Unsupervised social bot detection via structural information theory. *ACM TOIS*, 2024.

[Pons and Latapy, 2005] Pascal Pons and Matthieu Latapy. Computing communities in large networks using random walks. In *Computer and Information Sciences-ISCIS*, 2005.

[Ren *et al.*, 2024] Yuyang Ren, Haonan Zhang, Luoyi Fu, and et al. Hi-part: Going beyond graph pooling with hierarchical partition tree for graph-level representation learning. *ACM TKDD*, 2024.

[Rissanen, 1978] Jorma Rissanen. Modeling by shortest data description. *Automatica*, 1978.

[Rosvall and Bergstrom, 2008] Martin Rosvall and Carl T Bergstrom. Maps of random walks on complex networks reveal community structure. *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences*, 2008.

[Su *et al.*, 2025] Dingli Su, Hao Peng, Guangjie Zeng, Pu Li, Angsheng Li, and Yicheng Pan. Emergence of cooperation in multi-agent reinforcement learning via coalition labeling and structural entropy. In *Proceedings of the SDM*, 2025.

[Sun *et al.*, 2024] Li Sun, Zhenhao Huang, and et al. Lsenet: Lorentz structural entropy neural network for deep graph clustering. In *Proceedings of the ICML*, 2024.

[Wang *et al.*, 2023a] Xinbing Wang, Huquan Kang, Luoyi Fu, and et al. Quantifying knowledge from the perspective of information structurization. *Plos one*, 2023.

[Wang *et al.*, 2023b] Yifei Wang, Yupan Wang, Zeyu Zhang, Song Yang, Kaiqi Zhao, and Jiamou Liu. User: Unsupervised structural entropy-based robust graph neural network. In *Proceedings of the AAAI*, volume 37, 2023.

[Wang *et al.*, 2025a] Linqin Wang, Yaping Liu, Zhengtao Yu, and et al. Secodec: Structural entropy-based compressive speech representation codec for speech language models. In *Proceedings of the AAAI*, 2025.

[Wang *et al.*, 2025b] Linqin Wang, Zhengtao Yu, Shengxiang Gao, and et al. Voice conversion via structural entropy. In *Proceedings of the IEEE ICASSP*, 2025.

[Wu *et al.*, 2022] Junran Wu, Xueyuan Chen, Ke Xu, and Shangzhe Li. Structural entropy guided graph hierarchical pooling. In *Proceedings of the ICML*, 2022.

[Wu *et al.*, 2023] Junran Wu, Xueyuan Chen, Bowen Shi, Shangzhe Li, and Ke Xu. Sega: Structural entropy guided anchor view for graph contrastive learning. In *ICML*, 2023.

[Xian *et al.*, 2025] Yantuan Xian, Pu Li, and et al. Community detection in large-scale complex networks via structural entropy game. In *Proceedings of the Web Conference*, 2025.

[Xie *et al.*, 2025] Minhui Xie, Hao Peng, Pu Li, and et al. Hierarchical superpixel segmentation via structural information theory. In *Proceedings of the SDM*, 2025.

[Yang *et al.*, 2023] Zhenyu Yang, Ge Zhang, Jia Wu, and et al. Minimum entropy principle guided graph neural networks. In *Proceedings of the ACM WSDM*, 2023.

[Yang *et al.*, 2024a] Runze Yang, Hao Peng, Angsheng Li, and et al. Hierarchical abstracting graph kernel. *IEEE TKDE*, 2024.

[Yang *et al.*, 2024b] Runze Yang, Hao Peng, Chunyang Liu, and Angsheng Li. Incremental measurement of structural entropy for dynamic graphs. *Artificial Intelligence*, 2024.

[Yang *et al.*, 2024c] Yingguang Yang, Qi Wu, Buyun He, and et al. Sebot: Structural entropy guided multi-view contrastive learning for social bot detection. In *Proceedings of the ACM SIGKDD*, 2024.

[Yang *et al.*, 2024d] Zhiwei Yang, Yuecen Wei, Haoran Li, and et al. Adaptive differentially private structural entropy minimization for unsupervised social event detection. In *Proceedings of the ACM CIKM*, 2024.

[Yao *et al.*, 2019] Yuan Yao, Yicheng Pan, Shaojiang Wang, Hongan Wang, and Waqas Nazeer. Flow-based clustering on directed graphs: A structural entropy minimization approach. *IEEE Access*, 2019.

[Yu *et al.*, 2025] Xiaoyan Yu, Yifan Wei, Shuaishuai Zhou, and et al. Towards effective, efficient and unsupervised social event detection in the hyperbolic space. In *Proceedings of the AAAI*, 2025.

[Zeng *et al.*, 2023a] Guangjie Zeng, Hao Peng, Angsheng Li, and et al. Unsupervised skin lesion segmentation via structural entropy minimization on multi-scale superpixel graphs. In *Proceedings of the ICDM*, 2023.

[Zeng *et al.*, 2023b] Xianghua Zeng, Hao Peng, and Angsheng Li. Effective and stable role-based multi-agent collaboration by structural information principles. In *Proceedings of the AAAI*, 2023.

[Zeng *et al.*, 2023c] Xianghua Zeng, Hao Peng, Angsheng Li, and et al. Hierarchical state abstraction based on structural information principles. In *Proceedings of the IJCAI*, 2023.

[Zeng *et al.*, 2024a] Guangjie Zeng, Hao Peng, and et al. Semi-supervised clustering via structural entropy with different constraints. In *Proceedings of the SDM*, 2024.

[Zeng *et al.*, 2024b] Xianghua Zeng, Hao Peng, and Angsheng Li. Adversarial socialbots modeling based on structural information principles. In *Proceedings of the AAAI*, 2024.

[Zeng *et al.*, 2024c] Xianghua Zeng, Hao Peng, and Angsheng Li. Effective exploration based on the structural information principles. In *Proceedings of the NeurIPS*, 2024.

[Zeng *et al.*, 2025] Guangjie Zeng, Hao Peng, Angsheng Li, and et al. Scalable semi-supervised clustering via structural entropy with different constraints. *IEEE TKDE*, 2025.

[Zhang *et al.*, 2021a] Siyu Zhang, Jiamou Liu, Yiwei Liu, Zijian Zhang, and Bakhadyr Khoussainov. Improving togetherness using structural entropy. In *Proceedings of the MobiCASE*. Springer, 2021.

[Zhang *et al.*, 2021b] Yu Wei Zhang, Meng Bo Wang, and Shuai Cheng Li. Supertad: robust detection of hierarchical topologically associated domains with optimized structural information. *Genome biology*, 2021.

[Zhang *et al.*, 2023] Chong Zhang, Qiang Guo, Luoyi Fu, and et al. Finding the source in networks: an approach based on structural entropy. *ACMT TOIT*, 2023.

[Zhang *et al.*, 2024] Chong Zhang, Cheng Deng, Luoyi Fu, and et al. Rwe: A random walk based graph entropy for the structural complexity of directed networks. *IEEE TNSE*, 2024.

[Zhu *et al.*, 2023] He Zhu, Chong Zhang, Junjie Huang, Junran Wu, and Ke Xu. Hitin: Hierarchy-aware tree isomorphism network for hierarchical text classification. In *Proceedings of the ACL*, 2023.

[Zou *et al.*, 2023] Dongcheng Zou, Hao Peng, Xiang Huang, and et al. Se-gsl: A general and effective graph structure learning framework through structural entropy optimization. In *Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference*, 2023.

[Zou *et al.*, 2024] Dongcheng Zou, Senzhang Wang, and et al. Multispans: A multi-range spatial-temporal transformer network for traffic forecast via structural entropy optimization. In *Proceedings of the ACM WSDM*, 2024.